

Why WebRTC is the way it is

Some History
from someone who was in the room.

WHY?

**The number one question asked
by webdevs when they first encounter
WebRTC**

WTF?

**The second question asked
by webdevs when they encounter WebRTC**

**My goal is to
Explain the
Inexplicable**

Why did it take so long?

libwebRTC was open sourced in 2011

- Optimisim
 - We have everything we need
(Google had bought a tonne of relevant IPR)
 - We have been doing this for year
(Cisco had lots of SIP products)
 - All the RFCs already exist
(Reuse existing protocols)
- Done in 18 months.

Why did it take so long?

libwebRTC was open sourced in 2011

- Ignorance
 - It's just a phone in the browser
 - Like 800 numbers
 - Or skype perhaps
 - It's an RTC problem

Wrong!

Google should have bought
phonefromhere.com :-)
We knew.

Who ?

- Google
- Cisco
- Ericsson
- Microsoft
- Mozilla
- Voxeo (me as spear carrier)

W3C and IETF

Why no standardised signalling?

- SIP vs XMPP vs H323 - no easy winner
- Auth — web auth isn't like SIP auth
 - Need to tie web sessions to temp SIP ids
 - Grief to manage
- Orchestration
 - Easier to tie call state to web app state if web app does call control

Why P2P?

- Skype was the dominant ‘competition’
 - At that point in time it was a P2P protocol
 - On a mesh framework
- Majority of those involved were SIP folks
 - SIP had always aspired to be P2P
 - It just never worked that way
- So it seemed natural back then.

Why E2E (DTLS/SRTP) ?

- Snowden.
 - Several IETF folks took this personally
 - Proved to be convenient interception points
- Rearguard action to mandate SRTP/SDES
 - ‘Too difficult - can’t be done’ etc
 - 4 of us implemented DTLS/SRTP in Java in a few weeks

Why RTP ?

- Standards politics.
 - Adobe too slow
 - Skype owned by MS
 - IAX2 only an informational RFC

Why Datachannels ?

- Our experience was that in call data was useful
- No DTMF isn't enough!
- RTP datachannels super clunky
(Still supported in chrome
8 years after being deprecated)
- SCTP suitable (if overkill) with an RFC

Why so many optional modes ?

- Early media, bundle, SDES, PRANSWER etc
- ‘Future Legacy Illusion’
- The idea that there were existing telco middle boxes that would support webRTC unchanged
- P2P and E2E ensured that could never be true. But we still have the APIs.
- Complex to learn and a testing nightmare

Why offer/answer semantics ?

- Because they didn't know better ?
- 3rd party client illusion
 - Facebook <-> Myspace calls
 - Like the phone system
- CORS and Biz realities mean that both sides share a state machine in the web service.

Why those codecs ?

- Silk's success -> opus
- License counting is harmful -> VP8
- But hardware -> H264
- Future legacy interop -> ulaw,g722 etc

Perhaps the only acceptable bit of
optionality IMHO

Why Bother?

Huge success

- Billions of users
- Billions of minutes
- On (almost) every smartphone
- W3C REC last week !
- IETF cluster 238 !

Thanks for all the hard work!

Demo

(I kinda have to)

- H264 from raspberry pi
- Controlled over data channel
- Audio conference
- In a browser
- Letting kids play/interact in lockdown
- Not in the original spec ;-)

tim@pi.pe @steely_glint on twitter